

Predicting Impulsive Buying Influenced by Hedonic Motivation and Socialization Motivation

Burhan Prakoso Sugiono*¹, Lingga Yuliana², Nike Larasati³, Wenny Desty Febrian⁴

¹Universitas Media Nusantara Citra

²Universitas Paramadina

³Universitas Slamet Riyadi

⁴Universitas Dian Nusantara

e-mail: burhan.prakoso@mncu.ac.id, lingga.yuliana@paramadina.ac.id, nike.larasati@unisri.ac.id, wenny.desty.febrian@undira.ac.id


Submit	Revised	Accepted	Available Online
31-12-2024	25-02-2025	01-03-2025	12-03-2025

Abstract - The purpose of this study is to determine how socialization and hedonic motivation affect impulsive purchasing. The sampling method is called purposeful sampling. One hundred respondents, ages twelve to fourteen, participated in the survey. Partial Least Squares is one of the data analysis techniques used in this study's quantitative approach. As a data analysis tool, SmartPLS version 4 is used to process data. Primary data from Google Forms used to distribute questionnaires is used in the data source. The study's findings suggest that impulsive purchasing is influenced by hedonic motivation. The second hypothesis, however, demonstrates that impulsive purchasing is unaffected by socialization motivation. According to the management implications of this study, businesses who provide goods and services to teenagers should think about the advantages, quality, and selling price. Teenagers are a tech-savvy generation, thus all decisions are based on user feedback and considerations. If the selling price is too expensive for the teenagers, they will abandon their plan to purchase.

Kata Kunci: Hedonic Motivation, Impulsive Buying, Socialization Motivation, Teenager

INTRODUCTION


Generation Z is one of the largest generations in Indonesia. Harmadi, (2022) stated that Indonesia is currently dominated by generation z and millennials. The proportion of the population of Indonesia based on generation is presented in Figure 1 below.



Source: Harmadi (2022)

Figure 1. Proportion of the Number of Indonesian Populations Based on Generation

Because of this, practically every generation in the modern age is concerned about Generation Z. Since teens make up the majority of generation Z, the country's future generation, they play a crucial part in advancing Indonesia (Hinduan et al., 2020).



Source: Yonatan (2024)

Figure 2. Characteristics of Generation Z When Shopping

One way to think of social motivation or social shopping is as going shopping with family or friends

(Coelho et al., 2023). Friends are one of the things that offer knowledge and considerations while making a buying decision, claims Ashraf et al., (2023). Some people will consult friends or relatives for information and recommendations before making a purchase (Macheka et al., 2023). Giving friends or other close friends advice and information can lead to impulsive purchases because of the social setting (Li et al., 2022). Online shopping has grown to be a necessity and even a way of life (Yoo et al., 2023). Promotions frequently offered by e-wallets have the potential to encourage impulsive purchasing in society by keeping individuals motivated to make simple online purchases using their cellphones (Siyal). According to Morgan et al., (2021), teenagers are typically affected by marketing, emulate their friends' lifestyles, have excessive expectations, and spend their money. This behavior, often known as impulsive buying, leads someone to buy something they had no intention of getting at first (Sarwar et al., 2023). The data in Figure 2 shows that there is motivation for teenagers to make purchases, starting from price, product quality, discounts, ease of use and speed of delivery. With the ease of access obtained by the community, this is not a difficulty for generation z in making purchases. This is also supported by the presence of e-wallets that make it easier for people to spend their money because they do not see the physical money used so that they do not feel like they have spent money. Since customer love for a product is one of the elements driving impulsive buying, Wang et al., 2022) claim that hedonic shopping motivation has a significant impact on impulsive buying. Customers experience hedonic motivation when they think that utilizing or buying a product will boost their self-confidence and bring them emotional fulfillment. Teenagers may experience hedonic drive as a result of believing that utilizing particular products will boost their social value and self-esteem (Jalees et al., 2024). Purchasing decisions involve a series of choices formed by consumers before making a purchase (Alfian et al., 2024; (Singer & Özşahin, 2024). Once they find a means of satisfying their desires and needs, purchasing behavior is carried out (Zaman & Kusi-Sarpong, 2023). Based on the opinion of Alnoor et al., (2024), impulsive purchases are characterized by the absence of planning and not considering the impacts that can occur when determining choices in purchasing. This happens quickly and spontaneously. Assessments may have a subjective tendency to immediately have a product even though they are not pressed by need (Ohfujii et al., 2004). Those who make impulsive purchases tend not to think about the benefits of the product (Xin et al., 2024). Sellers can provide various stimuli that have the potential to trigger impulsive behavior from consumers (Sun et al., 2023). Impulse buying is a purchasing activity carried out by individuals without having a prior planning process (Nyrhinen et al., 2024). Around 40% of spending occurs

spontaneously by consumers in online purchases and without prior planning (Baek & Park, 2024). So, if impulsive buying is done continuously, it can cause financial problems such as being trapped in a lot of debt outside the community, which can be a serious problem caused by the phenomenon of impulsive buying (Jones & Arnould, 2024; Khoi & Le, 2024).

Hedonic shopping motivation is a motivation that someone has in shopping with the aim of getting pleasure alone and has the perception that shopping is something that can be interesting based on the person's emotional thoughts (Hussain et al., 2024). Hedonic motivation is defined as a form of motivation in shopping that consumers have only for the pleasure they have without considering the benefits of the product purchased (Aruldoss et al., 2024). Someone who has a hedonic nature has many needs that cannot be met before, then after the needs are met, new needs arise and sometimes higher than before (Huang et al., 2024). Hedonic motivation can also arise because of excessive desire for the latest goods or products where they have to buy them in order to have their own satisfaction (Polisetty et al., 2024). When someone shops hedonistically, consumers will not consider the benefits of the product (Baghirov & Zhang, 2024). But will refer to a consumption pattern that reflects a person's choice of how to spend time and money and will form a shopping lifestyle (Richter et al., 2024). So the possibility of impulsive purchases will also be higher.

Socialization motivation is the enjoyment of shopping, socializing and forming bonds with friends, family or other people (Haktanir & Gullu, 2023). Shopping groups that have high involvement in lifestyle are teenagers and young people (Jameel et al., 2024). Teenagers are generally easily influenced by advertisements, follow their circle of friends, are unrealistic and tend to be wasteful in shopping (Mathur, 2024). According to Rosillo-Díaz et al., (2024) friends can be a factor in conveying information and helping to provide a review when making a decision to purchase an item. The nature of shopping and consumption of a person in a group can be influenced by the information obtained and the information can be accepted by members of the group (Sharma et al., 2024). Socialization motivation is not just shopping with friends but according to Bakhshi et al., (2024), shopping for someone or role shopping can influence someone to do Socialization motivation. Role shopping reflects the pleasure obtained by consumers when shopping for someone in the form of a gift, the influence is on the feelings, mood and joy of the person who gives and receives the gift (Cachero-Martínez et al., 2023).

1. Formulation of Hypothesis

Albaiyah's research (2022), (Fernandy, 2024) and Naibaho et al., (2024) show that there is an influence between hedonic motivation and impulsive buying. Hedonic motivation is based on a buying

motivation from within the customer because the customer likes it, driven by the desire to achieve a form of pleasure, freedom, fantasy, and escape from problems. If someone has a high hedonic motivation so that they are motivated to always want to fulfill pleasure, then they will often make impulsive buying/unplanned purchasing decisions while in the shopping center. The higher the hedonic shopping motivation, the higher the impulsive buying decision.

1. H1: Hedonic motivation has an effect on impulsive buying.

Watang & Miswanto (2022) and Kanthawongs & Jabutay (2024) research states that there is an influence between social motivation and impulsive buying. Purchasing decisions can be influenced by several environmental factors such as opinions or suggestions from family, friends and relatives (Permana & Parasari, 2019). Social motivation aims to observe what other people buy and wear, shopping is used as a medium for socializing, building bonds with people who have similarities in shopping (Hasibuan et al., 2019).

2. H2: Socialization Motivation has an effect on impulsive buying.




Figure 3. Research Hypothesis

The study hypothesis formulation in Figure 3 partially and concurrently describes the relationship between the independent variable (hedonic motivation and socialization motivation) and the dependent variable (impulsive buying). Hedonic Motivation has an impact on Impulsive Buying in this model, as demonstrated by hypothesis 1, and Socialization Motivation has an impact on Impulsive Buying by hypothesis 2.

RESEARCH METHOD

The research process proposed by Bali & Yuliana, (2024); Nazara & Yuliana (2024); Alfian et al, (2025) begins with the collection of research data, which is then supplemented through the use of supporting references and interviews with respondents. Purposive sampling, a sampling technique in which the approach is based on existing

population characteristics, is the sampling strategy used in this study (Yuliana et al., 2022; Firdaus & Yuliana, 2024; Ambiyah et al., 2024). This technique is used to select individuals or groups who have special knowledge, experience, or characteristics that can provide in-depth and meaningful information about the topic being studied (Rafdi et al., 2024; Tarisca & Yuliana, 2024; Oktafianto et al., 2024). The research method used in this study is quantitative. The respondents in this study numbered 100 people. Using primary data as a data source derived from distributing questionnaires in the form of a google form. Partial Least Square is the data analysis technique in this study and is processed using SmartPLS version 4 as a data analysis tool.

Hedonic Motivation, Socialization Motivation, and Impulsive Buying variables, along with their measurement indicators modified from earlier research, make up the research construction displayed in Table 1.

Table 1. Research Construct

Variable	Indicator	Reference
Impulse Buying	IB1 = I made a purchase of an item that I had not previously planned.	Watang & Miswanto (2022)
	IB2 = I have a personal desire to buy something that I like.	
	IB3 = I feel happy after making a purchase of an item.	
	IB4 = I tend to buy something when visiting a place of entertainment.	
	IB5 = When I see an interesting item, I tend to want to buy it.	
Hedonic Motivation	HM1 = Choosing and buying various kinds of products, makes me have a lot of information about the product.	Watang & Miswanto (2022)
	HM2 = I feel excited when shopping	
	HM3 = Shopping makes me feel happy	
	HM4 = Shopping makes me in my own world	
	HM5 = I make purchases of items so that they look more attractive.	
Socialization Motivation	SM1 = I shop with friends to increase intimacy	Watang & Miswanto (2022)
	SM2 = Shopping can build closer bonds with friends or family	
	SM3 = Shopping is a good opportunity to be with friends or family	
	SM4 = I buy gifts for friends or family	
	SM5 = I shop with friends to increase intimacy	

Source: Processed Data (2024)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Respondent Demographics

Total of 100 respondents aged 12-24 years in the Maros area, South Sulawesi were involved in this study where there was a respondent profile based on gender, there were 36 male respondents and 64 female respondents. Based on job demographics, there were 75 respondents who were students, 7 respondents who

were self-employed, 2 respondents who were private employees, 7 respondents who were unemployed and 9 others. It can be concluded that the majority of respondents were students.

2. Validity Test Results

Convergent validity testing is needed to observe measures that have a positive relationship from the same construct using alternative steps (Simbolon et al., 2024; Slamet & Yuliana, 2024). The Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and outer loading values are used as requirements in fulfilling the value (Justiana & Yuliana, 2024; Astri et al., 2024). The following will present the results of data processing for the validity test:

Table 2. Validity Test

Variable	Indicator	Loading Factor
Impulsive Buying	IB4	0.932
	IB5	0.890
Hedonic Motivation	HM2	0.901
	HM3	0.889
	HM4	0.780
	HM5	0.739
Socialization Motivation	SM1	0.909
	SM2	0.873
	SM3	0.913

Source: Processed Data (2024)

The highest value on the outer loading of an element means that the related indicators have similarities (Ningsih et al., 2023; Ramadhani & Yuliana, 2023). Yuliana et al., (2023) stated that a substantial part of each indicator variant can be explained by a latent variable with a minimum of 5% according to the established policy, where the reference value of outer loading is 0.5 or greater, with an optimal value of 0.7 or greater (Khoiroh et al., 2023; Marianti et al., 2023; Sherlyta et al., 2024). as Table 2 above demonstrates, all of them satisfy the feasibility requirement of research variables, where all outer loadings are over 0.7. Several indicators that have a value <0.7 are excluded from the validity test, including IB1, IB2, IB3, HM1, and SM4. Based on the AVE value obtained, the highest value is in the impulsive Buying variable with a value of 0.830, and the lowest value is in the hedonic motivation variable with a value of 0.689.

3. Reliability and AVE Test Results

Based on table 3, the following presents reliability test data using SmartPLS 4.1.0.0 with the PLS-Algorithm procedure with the results of Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability values:

Table 3. Reliability Test and AVE

	Cronbach's Alpha	rho_A	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
Hedonic Motivation	0.849	0.887	0.898	0.689
Impulsive Buying	0.798	0.827	0.907	0.830
Socialization Motivation	0.882	0.904	0.926	0.807

Source: Processed Data (2024)

The results of the reliability test on each variable can be seen with a composite reliability value > 0.7, meaning that all the instruments used were declared trustworthy and reliable because the respondents' answers were considered consistent (Fauzan et al., 2023; Mustikasari et al., 2022; Utoyo et al., 2023).

4. Hypothesis Test Results

Based on the results of the hypothesis testing presented in table 4, it is stated that H1 is rejected, while H2 is supported. The following is a detailed discussion and explanation for each hypothesis based on table 4 above:

Table 4. Hypothesis Testing

	Original Sample (O)	Sample Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (STDEV)	T Statistics ((O-STDEV)/V)	P Values	Hypothesis Results
Hedonic Motivation -> Impulsive Buying	0.903	0.904	0.027	33.905	0.000	supported
Socialization Motivation -> Impulsive Buying	-0.008	0.007	0.047	0.164	0.870	rejected

Source: Processed Data (2024)

Furthermore, it is shown in this study that the hedonic motivation variable has a significant and positive effect on impulsive buying ($\beta = 0.903$; $p = 0.000$). The unidirectional relationship between the two variables is presented in the path coefficient value. In addition, the p value <0.05 means that hedonic motivation has a significant effect on impulsive buying. Based on this explanation, it can be concluded that Hypothesis 1 is supported. Shown in this study, the socialization motivation variable is not significant and has a negative effect on impulsive buying ($\beta = -0.008$; $p = 0.870$). The non-unidirectional relationship between the two variables is presented in the path coefficient value. In addition, the p value > 0.05 means that socialization motivation does not have a significant effect on impulsive buying. Based on this explanation, it can be concluded that Hypothesis 2 is not supported.

DISCUSSION

The first hypothesis shows that Hedonic Motivation influences impulsive buying. This is in line with research by Arbaiah et al., 2022; Fernandy, 2024; Naibaho et al., 2024). When someone buys, sometimes they make unplanned purchases or make sudden purchases. The feeling of pleasure that arises when consumers make a purchase causes them to engage in substantially unnecessary purchasing behavior. Hedonic motivation also influences impulsive buying. This hedonic desire can be an emotional drive that provides a sense of pleasure and happiness when shopping, which will lead to impulsive buying. A person can make impulsive purchases followed by the presence of emotions of pleasure, joy, and feelings to make spontaneous and repeated product purchases. A person can become increasingly susceptible to impulsive buying behavior in themselves when they want to find variety in a particular thing. Prolonged impulsive buying can result in waste and financial problems for a person.

The convenience of online shopping can be supported by marketer strategies by including strategies to encourage impulsive purchases. Consumer behavior in shopping has been proven to increase impulse buying, even some online shopping websites only rely on impulse buying to increase their income. Thus, the characteristics of purchasing products via the internet have great potential to encourage consumers to make purchases of products that they do not actually need. The second hypothesis shows that Socialization Motivation has no effect on impulsive buying. This is in contrast to research conducted by Kanthawongs & Jabutay (2024) and Watang & Miswanto, (2022). Impulsive buying behavior also leads to spontaneous spending without paying attention to the specific goals and benefits of buying a product. Impulsive buying is a buying activity that is irrational, has no prior planning, and is carried out because of emotional factors. Impulse buying can be influenced by internal factors related to consumer demographics and personality, and external factors including the surrounding environment, product promotion, and product advantages marketed by the seller. Products, purchase situations and conditions, and demographic factors can also be other factors that influence impulsive buying behavior. Teenagers become individuals who can determine decisions in making purchases. Not everything related to the social environment determines purchases made by teenagers. For example, when someone has a budget below the selling price, this is the cause of the purchase being canceled.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research and discussion above, it can be concluded that Hedonic Motivation has an effect on impulsive buying as the

first hypothesis. However, the second hypothesis shows that Socialization Motivation has no effect on impulsive buying. This study provides managerial implications where companies that sell products and services with sales targets are teenagers, need to consider the selling price, quality and benefits. Because teenagers are a generation that is adaptive to technology, all decisions to be taken are based on considerations and reviews from users. Teenagers will cancel their intention to buy if the selling price is considered high from their expectations. This study has limitations because it focuses on the variables of hedonic motivation, Socialization Motivation and impulsive buying. Suggestions for further research can conduct hypothesis testing simultaneously and add other variables such as risk acceptance and price acceptance.

REFERENCES

- Alfian, R., Nugroho, W. F., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Analysis of Brand Awareness, Brand Loyalty and Brand Reputation on Purchase Decisions. *Jurnal Bisnis Dan Manajemen*, 11(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.26905/jbm.v11i1.12636>
- Alfian, R., Yuliana, L., Perkasa, D. H., & Putra, M. F. R. (2025). Hyper-Personalization For Customer Innovativeness, Customer Involvement and Adoption Intention. *Widya Cipta: Jurnal Sekretari Dan Manajemen*, 9(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.31294/widyacipta.v9i1.22881>
- Alnoor, A., Abbas, S., Khaw, K. W., Muhsen, Y. R., & Chew, X. (2024). Unveiling the optimal configuration of impulsive buying behavior using fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis and multi-criteria decision approach. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 81, 104057. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.104057>
- Ambiyah, D., Putri, M. I., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Analisis Reputasi Merek terhadap Keputusan Pembelian pada Merek iPhone. *Indo-Fintech Intellectuals: Journal of Economics and Business*, 4(5), 1723–1737. <https://doi.org/10.54373/ifijeb.v4i5.1992>
- Arbaiah, M., Pandjaitan, D. R., & Ambarwati, D. A. S. (2022). Pengaruh Dimensi Motivasi Belanja Hedonis terhadap Pembelian Impulsif pada Situs Marketplace Shopee. *Reviu Akuntansi, Manajemen, Dan Bisnis*, 2(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.35912/rambis.v2i1.1477>
- Aruldoss, A., Rana, S., Parayitam, S., & Gurusurthy, B. (2024). Demystifying hedonic shopping motivation and consumer buying behavior during the post-global pandemic: Evidence

- from a developing country. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 32(4), 486–505.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2023.2221442>
- Ashraf, A., Hameed, I., & Saeed, S. A. (2023). How do social media influencers inspire consumers' purchase decisions? The mediating role of parasocial relationships. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 47(4), 1416–1433.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12917>
- Astri, A. K., Masyuhur, A. K., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Analisis Kesadaran Merek terhadap Keputusan Pembelian pada Merek Somethinc. *Indo-Fintech Intellectuals: Journal of Economics and Business*, 4(5), 1710–1722.
<https://doi.org/10.54373/ifijeb.v4i5.1983>
- Baek, E., & Park, S. (2024). Planned or unplanned? Understanding the shopping behaviors and experiences of tourists. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 30(3), 392–407.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/13567667221142056>
- Baghirov, F., & Zhang, Y. (2024). Assessment of the association between aesthetic products and perceived product quality: An analysis of customer attitudes. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 41(7), 789–803.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-01-2024-6521>
- Bakhshi, M., Hanzae, K. H., & Amirshahi, M. (2024). Motivations fluidity: The effect of cultural factors on the formation of grocery shopping motivations. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 15(11), 2988–3009.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JIMA-02-2023-0047>
- Bali, K., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Pengaruh Periodisasi Terhadap Kualitas Pelayanan Kenaikan Pangkat Pegawai Negeri Sipil. *MASMAN: Master Manajemen*, 2(4), Article 4.
<https://doi.org/10.59603/masman.v2i4.569>
- Cachero-Martínez, S., García-Rodríguez, N., & Salido-Andrés, N. (2023). Because I'm happy: Exploring the happiness of shopping in social enterprises and its effect on customer satisfaction and loyalty. *Management Decision*, 62(2), 492–512.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-11-2022-1536>
- Coelho, F., Aniceto, I., Bairrada, C. M., & Silva, P. (2023). Personal values and impulse buying: The mediating role of hedonic shopping motivations. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 72, 103236.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2022.103236>
- Fadhillah, R., Yuliana, L., & Perkasa, D. H. (2024). Pengaruh Pembangunan Pusat Jajanan Desa (Pujadesa) Terhadap Pengembangan UMKM Di Desa Air Mesu. *Multidisipliner Knowledge*, 2(2).
- Fatharani, N. A., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Analisis Strategi Marketing 4c Pada Bogor Permai Bakery. *Jurnal Bina Bangsa Ekonomika*, 17(1), 830-839.
- Fauzan, R., Priantono, T. D., & Yuliana, L. (2023). Pengaruh Brand Familiarity Dan Perceived Quality Terhadap Brand Credibility. *Jurnal Cahaya Mandalika ISSN 2721-4796 (Online)*, 4(2), Article 2.
<https://doi.org/10.36312/jcm.v4i2.1995>
- Fernandy, M. A. (2024). Pengaruh Live Streaming, Influencer Marketing, Dan Motivasi Belanja Hedonis Terhadap Pembelian Impulsif Pada Shopee Di Kabupaten Jember (Studi Kasus Mahasiswa Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember). *Jurnal Sains Manajemen dan Bisnis Indonesia*, 14(2), Article 2.
<https://doi.org/10.32528/jsmbi.v14i2.23539>
- Firdaus, A. Y. A., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Pengaruh Reputasi Merek dan Kesadaran Merek Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Air Minum NU Berkah. *Mutiara: Jurnal Penelitian Dan Karya Ilmiah*, 2(6), Article 6.
<https://doi.org/10.59059/mutiara.v2i6.1786>
- Haktanir, M., & Gullu, E. (2023). Place attachment in coffee shops: A customer perspective study in North Cyprus. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, 7(1), 312–328.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTI-05-2022-0185>
- Harmadi, S. H. B. (2022, December 27). *Generasi Z dan Pemilu 2024*. [kompas.id. https://www.kompas.id/baca/opini/2022/12/27/generasi-z-dan-pemilu-2024](https://www.kompas.id/baca/opini/2022/12/27/generasi-z-dan-pemilu-2024)
- Hasibuan, E., Yahya, M., & Bakar, A. (2019). Korelasi intensitas bermain game online mobile legend dengan keterampilan sosial siswa MAN 3 Banda Aceh. *JIMBK: Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Bimbingan & Konseling*, 4(3), Article 3.
<https://jim.usk.ac.id/pbk/article/view/10118>
- Hinduan, Z. R., Anggraeni, A., & Agia, M. I. (2020). Generation Z in Indonesia: The Self-Driven Digital. In E. Gentina & E. Parry (Eds.), *The New Generation Z in Asia: Dynamics, Differences, Digitalisation* (pp. 121–134). Emerald Publishing Limited.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80043-220-820201012>
- Huang, X., Wang, P., & Wu, L. (2024). Well-being Through Transformation: An Integrative Framework of Transformative Tourism Experiences and Hedonic Versus Eudaimonic Well-being. *Journal of Travel Research*, 63(4), 974–994.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00472875231171670>

- Hussain, A., Ting, D. H., & Marder, B. (2024). Why premium in freemium: A hedonic shopping motivation model in virtual game retailing. *Information Technology & People*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-01-2023-0082>
- Jalees, T., Khan, S., Zaman, S. I., & Miao, M. (2024). The effect of religiosity, materialism and self-esteem on compulsive and impulsive buying behavior. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 15(11), 2697–2731. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIMA-03-2022-0078>
- Jameel, A., Khan, S., Alonazi, W. B., & Khan, A. A. (2024). Exploring the Impact of Social Media Sites on Compulsive Shopping Behavior: The Mediating Role of Materialism. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 17, 171–185. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S442193>
- Jones, H., & Arnould, E. (2024). Resisting Financial Consumer Responsibilization Through Community Counter-Conduct. *Journal of Business Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-024-05752-6>
- Justiana, S., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Pengaruh Viral Marketing Terhadap Minat Beli Produk Mother Of Pearl. *Jurnal Bina Bangsa Ekonomika*, 17(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.46306/jbbe.v17i1.447>
- Kanthawongs, P., & Jabutay, F. (2024). Socialization and Impulse Buying of Young Adults in S-Commerce: Mediating Roles of Hedonic Browsing and Upward Social Comparison. *ABAC Journal*, 44(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.59865/abacj.2024.29>
- Khoi, N. H., & Le, A. N.-H. (2024). Real-Time Interactivity and Impulsive Buying in Livestreaming Commerce: The Focal Intermediary Role of Inspiration. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 40(11), 2938–2953. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2176987>
- Khoiroh, A., Elvira, D., & Yuliana, L. (2023). Pengaruh Brand Signature Dan Brand Logo Terhadap Brand Reputation. *Jurnal Bina Bangsa Ekonomika*, 16(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.46306/jbbe.v16i2.422>
- Kurniaty, D., Subagio, A., Yuliana, L., Ridwan, S., & Fairuz, H. (2023, September). Factors influencing the young entrepreneurs to implement green entrepreneurship. In *20th International Symposium on Management (INSYMA 2023)* (pp. 526-534). Atlantis Press.
- Li, B., Hou, F., Guan, Z., & Chong, A. Y. L. (2022). The use of social media for a better world: Roles of social experience, empathy and personal impulsiveness in charitable crowdfunding. *Information Technology & People*, 36(6), 2587–2610. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-04-2021-0264>
- Macheika, T., Quaye, E. S., & Ligaraba, N. (2023). The effect of online customer reviews and celebrity endorsement on young female consumers' purchase intentions. *Young Consumers*, 25(4), 462–482. <https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-05-2023-1749>
- Marianti, S., Dharma, T. A., & Yuliana, L. (2023). Pengaruh Brand Familiarity Terhadap Brand Credibility. *Jurnal Bina Bangsa Ekonomika*, 16(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.46306/jbbe.v16i2.394>
- Mathur, N. (2024). Rationality, Consumerism and Lifestyle Choices: Narratives of urban youth. In *Sociology of Rationality*. Routledge India.
- Morgan, C., Fajardo, T. M., & Townsend, C. (2021). Show it or say it: How brand familiarity influences the effectiveness of image-based versus text-based logos. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 49(3), 566–583. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00760-0>
- Mustikasari, M., Rusfianti, S., & Yuliana, L. (2022). Pengaruh Brand Signature Dan Brand Familiarity Terhadap Brand Attitude. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.26740/jim.v11n1.p41-50>
- Naibaho, R. Y., Lopian, S. L. H. V. J., & Tumewu, F. J. (2024). Pengaruh Motivasi Belanja Hedonis Dan Promosi Penjualan Terhadap Pembelian Impulsif Virtual Skin Karakter Game Online Mobile Legends Pada Generasi Z Di Kelurahan Bahu. *Jurnal EMBA : Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi*, 12(03), Article 03. <https://doi.org/10.35794/emba.v12i03.58308>
- Nazara, D. J., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Pengaruh Disiplin dan Motivasi Kerja terhadap Kinerja Karyawan pada PT. Yuan Wira Perdana. *Mutiara : Jurnal Penelitian Dan Karya Ilmiah*, 2(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.59059/mutiara.v2i6.1739>
- Ningsih, T. R. W. S., Mulyati, A., & Yuliana, L. (2023). Analisa Dampak Promosi Below The Line Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Sektor Elektrikal. *Jurnal Bina Bangsa Ekonomika*, 16(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.46306/jbbe.v16i2.402>
- Nyrhinen, J., Sirola, A., Koskelainen, T., Munnukka, J., & Wilska, T.-A. (2024). Online antecedents for young consumers' impulse buying behavior. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 153, 108129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.108129>

- Ohfuji, T., Noda, T., & Ogino, J. (2004). Quality Function Deployment for the Service Industry. In *Quality Function Deployment*. Productivity Press.
- Oktafianto, R., Yuliana, L., & Perkasa, D. H. (2024). Peran Digital Marketing Dalam Mendorong Pertumbuhan UMKM Di Era Digitalisasi: Studi Pada UMKM Di Kabupaten Kudus. *Multidisipliner Knowledge*, 2(2), Article 2. <https://e-journal.stai-almaliki.ac.id/index.php/mk/article/view/129>
- Permana, G. P. L., & Parasari, A. A. A. I. (2019). Pengaruh Hedonic Motivation, Social Influence, Dan Perceived Enjoyment Terhadap Penggunaan Marketplace Pada Umkm Di Bali: Studi Kasus Pada Hipmi Provinsi Bali. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Dan Bisnis*, 4(1), Article 1.
- Polisetty, A., Chakraborty, D., Singu, H. B., & Behl, A. (2024). Examining the relationship between pro-environmental consumption behaviour and hedonic and eudaimonic motivation. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 359, 121095. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.121095>
- Rafdi, A., Irawan, B., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Analysis of Brand Credibility to Brand Reputation in Indomie Case Study. *JMK (Jurnal Manajemen Dan Kewirausahaan)*, 9(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.32503/jmk.v9i3.6435>
- Ramadhani, S., & Yuliana, L. (2023). Pengaruh Persepsi Konsumen Terhadap Minat Beli Mobil Listrik Mercedes-Benz EQS. *Jurnal Orientasi Bisnis Dan Entrepreneurship (JOBS)*, 4(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.33476/jobs.v4i1.3614>
- Richter, J. L., Lehner, M., Elfström, A., Henman, J., Vadovics, E., Brizga, J., Plepys, A., & Mont, O. (2024). 1.5° lifestyle changes: Exploring consequences for individuals and households. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 50, 511–525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2024.07.018>
- Riyanto, M. G., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Pengaruh Biaya Produksi dan Harga Jual Terhadap Pendapatan Petani Jagung Desa Sugihwaras. *MASMAN: Master Manajemen*, 2(4), 80-88.
- Rosillo-Díaz, E., Muñoz-Rosas, J. F., & Blanco-Encomienda, F. J. (2024). Impact of heuristic–systematic cues on the purchase intention of the electronic commerce consumer through the perception of product quality. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 81, 103980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103980>
- Rosinta, R., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Peran Insentif dan Motivasi terhadap Kinerja Karyawan PT. Kb Bank Tbk. *Mutiara : Jurnal Penelitian Dan Karya Ilmiah*, 2(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.59059/mutiara.v2i6.1750>
- Sarwar, M. A., Nasir, J., Sarwar, B., Hussain, M., & Abbas, A. (2023). An investigation of precursors of online impulse buying and its effects on purchase regret: Role of consumer innovation. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 16(5), 877–894. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIS-12-2022-0244>
- Serick, S. O., Purwoko, B., Derriawan, D., & Yuliana, L. (2021). Pemanfaatan Wadah Berbagi Pengetahuan Dan Kualitas Merek Terhadap Perilaku Loyalitas Konsumen. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 9(4), 1420-1429.
- Sharma, M., Joshi, S., Luthra, S., & Kumar, A. (2024). Impact of Digital Assistant Attributes on Millennials’ Purchasing Intentions: A Multi-Group Analysis using PLS-SEM, Artificial Neural Network and fsQCA. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 26(3), 943–966. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-022-10339-5>
- Sherlyta, Y., Putri, S. P., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Pengaruh Brand Signature Terhadap Brand Performance. *Jurnal Bina Bangsa Ekonomika*, 17(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.46306/jbbe.v17i1.481>
- Simbolon, H., Pratama, R. R., & Yuliana, L. (2024). The Influence of Brand Signature and Brand Reputation on Brand Performance. *Journal of Applied Business Administration*, 8(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.30871/jaba.v8i2.8652>
- Singer, H., & Özşahin, Ş. (2024). An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy analytic hierarchy process model for understanding consumer decision-making in non-wood forest product purchases. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 78, 103734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103734>
- Slamet, F. A., & Yuliana, L. (2024). The Impact of Brand Hate and Negative E-WOM on Non Purchase Intention (Case Study on American Food). *Journal of Applied Business Administration*, 8(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.30871/jaba.v8i2.7721>
- Sun, J., Li, T., & Sun, S. (2023). Factors affecting users’ impulse purchases in online group buying: Online consumer reviews, countdowns and self-control. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 36(1), 224–240. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-07-2022-0560>
- Tarisca, K., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Pengaruh Perceived Quality dan Brand Familiarity

- Terhadap Brand Credibility (Studi Kasus Merek KFC). *Mutiara : Jurnal Penelitian Dan Karya Ilmiah*, 2(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.59059/mutiara.v2i6.1776>
- Thybah, U., Yuliana, L., & Perkasa, D. H. (2024). Implementasi Manajemen Keuangan Desa Madat Dalam Meningkatkan Transparansi Dan Akuntabilitas. *Multidisipliner Knowledge*, 2(2), 33-44.
- Utoyo, G. N. P. M., Mustofa, K. A., & Yuliana, L. (2023). Kualitas Pelayanan Difabis Coffe & Tea Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan. *Jurnal Cahaya Mandalika ISSN 2721-4796 (Online)*, 4(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.36312/jcm.v4i2.1994>
- Wang, X., Ali, F., Tauni, M. Z., Zhang, Q., & Ahsan, T. (2022). Effects of hedonic shopping motivations and gender differences on compulsive online buyers. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 30(1), 120–135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2021.1894949>
- Watang, K. C. C., & Miswanto, M. (2022). Mood Management as Mediator in Consumer Motivation and Impulsive Buying Relationship. *KINERJA*, 26(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.24002/kinerja.v26i1.5543>
- Wati, P., Aditiaga, Z., & Yuliana, L. (2024). Implementation of social media marketing in the florist business. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, 13(01), 1915-1924.
- Xin, M., Liu, W., & Jian, L. (2024). Live streaming product display or social interaction: How do they influence consumer intention and behavior? A heuristic-systematic perspective. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 67, 101437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2024.101437>
- Yonatan, A. Z. (2024, February 10). *Karakteristik Gen Z Ketika Belanja Online, Mudah Boros?* GoodStats Data. <https://data.goodstats.id/statistic/karakteristi-k-gen-z-ketika-belanja-online-mudah-boros-kUBY1>
- Yoo, K., Welden, R., Hewett, K., & Haenlein, M. (2023). The merchants of meta: A research agenda to understand the future of retailing in the metaverse. *Journal of Retailing*, 99(2), 173–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2023.02.002>
- Yuliana, L., Saptorinie, N., Priantono, T. D., Rizkyllah, A., & Masnia, M. (2022). Perubahan Perilaku Konsumen dan Peran Influencer terhadap Pola Konsumtif dalam Kondisi Pandemi (Studi Kasus pada karyawan yang bekerja secara work from home). *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Bisnis Madani*, 4(1), 26–42. <https://doi.org/10.51353/jmbm.v4i1.599>
- Yuliana, L. (2023). Analisis Tren Citayam Fashion Week Terhadap Preferensi Konsumen Pada Pembelian Produk Second Hand di Carousell. *Widya Cipta: Jurnal Sekretari Dan Manajemen*, 7(1), 13-17.
- Yuliana, L., Setiawan, H. A., & Irawan, S. (2023). The Impact of Brand Credibility and Brand Reputation on Brand Performance: An Empirical Analysis. *Jurnal Bisnis Dan Manajemen*, 10(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.26905/jbm.v10i2.11743>
- Zaman, S. I., & Kusi-Sarpong, S. (2023). Identifying and exploring the relationship among the critical success factors of sustainability toward consumer behavior. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 19(2), 492–522. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JM2-06-2022-0153>